MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2010 question paper

for the guidance of teachers

0470 HISTORY

0470/42

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2010 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



Page	2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version IGCSE – October/November 2010	Syllabus 0470	Paper 42
	I	Depth Study A: Germany 1918–194		
1 (a) (i)	Level 1	Repeats material stated in the source, no info		[1–2]
	Level 2	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from th		
		e.g. Nationalist; expansionist; anti-Semite ambitious etc.	; radical; revolut	ionary; populist; [3–4]
	Level 3	Makes valid inferences with reference to the	source.	
		e.g. Anti-Semite as wants to exclude Jews about a greater Germany; Populist over	•	
(ii)	Level 1	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]
	Level 2	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sc	ource, e.g.	
		Yes Confident; inspired loyalty; dealt with ri	vals; rebuilt the pa	arty; patient etc.
		No Poor election result; no national influe the lunatic fringe'; cannot tell as he had		
	Level 3	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the s Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	source.	[6–7]
(iii)	Level 1	Useful / not useful – Choice made on the gives more information, but does not specify		
	Level 2	Useful / not useful – One is from a Nazi pa professor so they could both be biased / unre		is from a British [2]
	Level 3	Choice made on the nature or amount of info Must specify what information.	ormation given.	[3–5]
	Level 4	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid Include at this Level answers that cross-reference reliability.		
		6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]
(b) (i)	Level 1	One mark for each valid example to a maxim	num of two.	
		e.g. SPD; Centre; DNVP; KPD; DVP.		[1–2]
(ii)	Level 1	Identifies powers, e.g. Head of State.		[1–2]
	Level 2	Develops powers. Award an extra mark for each power describ	ed in additional de	etail.
		e.g. Head of state; Commander-in-Chief, suspend individual rights and rule by d	-	

Page 3		lark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IG	GCSE – October/November 2010	0470	42
(iii) Leve	el 1 Sing	gle reason. One for the reason, one for th	e explanation.	[1–2]
Leve	el 2 Mul	ltiple reasons. One for each reason, one f	or each reason ex	plained.
	e.g.	 Military / Freikorps background; Quas Munich / imprisonment; Aided the reb SA from 1931; Destroying opposition other leaders; His wish to unite SA dangerous person for Hitler; Wanted m upset Hitler's financial backers etc. 	uild of the Party; in 1932/3 electic A and Wehrmach	Chief of Staff of ons; Disliked by it made him a
(iv) Leve	el 1 Sim	ple assertion:		
	Yes	s It gave Hitler more power.		
	No	The death of Hindenburg was more imp	portant.	[1]
Leve	el 2 Exp	planation of Enabling Act OR other reason	is, single factor giv	/en, e.g.
	EA	Gave Hitler dictatorial powers for authority to arrest enemies (Comm effect it made Hitler the legal dictator	unist Party alrea	
	Oth	er Night of the Long Knives; Death o Wehrmacht; Abolition of unions; imp etc.	-	-
Leve		planation of Enabling Act <i>OR</i> other reason w single factors with multiple reasons.	is with multiple fac	tors.
	Unc	developed suggestions on <i>BOTH</i> sides of anced but Brief).	of the argument (a	annotate BBB – [3–5]
Leve		swers that offer a balanced argument. <i>TH</i> sides of the Enabling Act <i>AND</i> other re	easons must be a	ddressed. [6–8]

	Page 4		Mark Scheme: Teachers' versionSyllabusPapeIGCSE – October/November 2010047042				
			IG	IGCSE – October/November 2010 0470			
				Depth Study B: Russia, 1905–1941			
2	(a) (i)	Level	1 Repe	eats material stated in the source.		[1–2]	
		Level		es valid inferences, unsupported from th perous and painful, etc.	e source e.g. Life	e could be hard, [3–4]	
		Level	3 Make	es valid inferences with reference to the s	source.		
			e.g.	Life was hard as crops were taken and Life was difficult – more died of hunger	•		
	(ii)	Level	1 Agre	es OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]	
		Level	2 Agre	es OR disagrees, supported from the so	urce, e.g.		
			Yes	About 15 per cent supported other part themselves in petty bickering. The (peasants) played little part in politics home.	70 per cent of	the population	
			No	Bolshevik success was based on a sn loyal, disciplined and organised etc.	nall 10 per cent b	out all were very [3–5]	
		Level	0	es <i>AND</i> disagrees, supported from the se esses the issue of 'How far?'	ource.	[6–7]	
	(iii)	Level		ul / not useful – Choice made on the bas information, but does not specify what i		e detailed/gives [1]	
		Level		ul / not useful – One is from a British his et agent so they could both be biased / u		is from a British [2]	
		Level		ce made on the nature or amount of infor specify what information.	mation given.	[3–5]	
		Level	Disc	ce made on the grounds of reliability. ussion of utility must be made on valid e de at this Level answers that cross-refe pility.			
			6 ma	irks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]	

Page 5	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
	IGCSE – October/November 2010	0470	42	

- (b) (i) Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two.
 - e.g. Secret police instituted by Lenin without official status in December 1917. Run by a Pole, Dzerzhinsky. Its purpose was to establish and protect the Communist Revolution. Corn requisition. Interrogation, torture, execution at HQ, Lubyanka Prison. [1–2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies elements. Gave Bolsheviks control of trade and industry. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Develops elements. Award an extra mark for each element described in additional detail.
 - e.g. Peasants forced to give up surplus grain to the government to feed the Red Army and cities. Workers told where and when to work. All firms employing more than 10 workers were nationalised: banks, railways, iron and coal etc. [2–4]
 - (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained.
 - e.g. Divisions amongst the Whites; Reds controlled the central area and most means of production/railways; Trotsky was an excellent leader and Red leaders were ruthless and efficient; Foreign Powers had no clear aims, and Bolsheviks were able to sell the war as a defence of Mother Russia etc. [2–6]
 - (iv) Level 1 Simple assertion. No, Stalin quickly changed it. [1]
 - Level 2 Explanation of success *OR* lack of success, single factor given, e.g.
 - Succ Gave incentives to work; peasants worked harder; industrial traders (Nepmen); economy began to recover; great progress with electrification of industry; towards the end of 1927, ordinary Russians were better off than at any time since 1914 etc.
 - Lack Introduced because of opposition to War Communism Kronstadt sailors. Seen as a capitalist plan by many communists. Still food shortages. No real overall improvement in heavy production to take USSR back to pre-First World War levels. [2]
 - Level 3 Explanation of success *OR* lack of success with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons. *OR* Undeveloped suggestions on *BOTH* sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
 - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of success AND lack of success must be addressed. [6–8]

	Page 6 Mark Scheme: Teachers' version Syllabus Pap								
				IGCSE – October/November 2010 0470 42					
				Depth Study C: The USA, 1919–194	<u>1.</u>				
3	(a) ((i)	Level	1 Repeats material stated in the source.		[1–2]			
			Level	2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the	e source.				
				e.g. Naïve; greedy; victims of fraud – financ	ial and political; bi	itter, etc. [3–4]			
			Level	3 Makes valid inferences with reference to the	source e.g.				
				Taken in by 'rich creamy words'. Assured authority. Overconfident in advisers, 'the wise	• •	by the 'highest [5–6]			
	(i	ii)	Level	1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]			
			Level	2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the so	urce e.g.				
				Yes Believed in a free market; confident to to buy on credit – even into 1930 etc.	act on the edge o	f the law; willing			
				No Lack of sufficient and effective supervi available; increase in index shows solid	•	•			
			Level	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the s Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	ource.	[6–7]			
	(i	iii)	Level	1 Useful / not useful – Choice made on the gives more information, but does not specify		more detailed / [1]			
			Level	2 Useful / not useful – One is from an America American analyst so they could both be biase	-	other is from an [2]			
			Level	3 Choice made on the nature or amount of info Must specify what information.	rmation given.	[3–5]			
			Level	4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid e Include at this Level answers that cross-reference reliability.					
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]			

Page 7	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
	IGCSE – October/November 2010	0470	42	

- (b) (i) Level 1 One mark for each valid element to a maximum of two.
 - e.g. Buying stocks with 10 per cent of the cost, borrowing money on the expectation of price rises to cover; brought in small investors; banks used the method directly etc. [1-2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies measures.

e. g. Tax and economic measures. [1–2]

- Level 2 Develops measures. Award an extra mark for each measure described in additional detail.
 - e.g. Lowered taxes; cuts in expenditure; loans to Europe withdrawn; Federal Home Loan Bank; 1930 Hawley-Smoot raised tariffs still further; 1931 'Give a job' scheme; 1932 Reconstruction Finance Corporation etc. [2–4]
- (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for the reason, one for the reason explained.
 - e.g. Insufficient measures; Tariffs damaged rather than helped; Did not appreciate the full scale of the problem; Unwilling to take firm action against banks; 'rugged individualism'; Danger of welfare state; Resistance from Republicans, some Democrats and the Supreme Court; Could not restore confidence; Longer term problems of agriculture etc. [2–6]
- (iv) Level 1 Simple assertion. Yes, the banking crisis weakened confidence. [1]
 - Level 2 Explanation of banking crisis *OR* other reasons, single factor given, e.g.
 - Bank 2000 banks had collapsed by 1930, 5000 by 1933; Bank of US in New York worst failure in history (at that point) – 400 000 depositors lost savings; European banks collapsing weakened confidence further; trust in banks not restored; FDR's immediate reforms – the weakest 5 per cent closed permanently; Emergency Banking Act.
 - Other Distrust in Stock Market fall in demand. Unemployment – 25 per cent by 1933. Agricultural depression. Hoover's defeat in 1932 election. [2]
 - Level 3 Explanation of banking crisis *OR* other reasons with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons. *OR* Undeveloped suggestions on *BOTH* sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
 - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of banking crisis AND other reasons must be addressed. [6–8]

	8			ark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper		
				IG	CSE – October/November 2010	0470	42	
					Depth Study D: China, 1945–c.1990	<u>.</u>		
4	(a) (i)	Lev	el 1 R	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.				
		Lev	el 2 M	ak	es valid inferences, unsupported from the	source.		
			e	g.	The protesters were happy and confider	nt etc.	[3–4]	
		Lev	el 3 M	ak	es valid inferences with reference to the s	source.		
			e	g.	All body language of smiles, greetings, the government must capitulate to the p	-		
	(ii)	Lev	el 1 A	gre	ees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]	
		Lev	el 2 A	gre	ees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	urce, e.g.		
			Y	es	Markets for private sale of produce. Agricultural workers' pay had tripled in 6 Encouragement of small companies. Consumer goods available etc.	6 years.		
			Ν	0	Consumer goods expensive. 12 per cent unemployment. Crime and hooliganism etc.		[3–5]	
		Lev			ees AND disagrees, supported from the so resses the issue of 'How far?'	ource.	[6–7]	
	(iii)	Lev			ful / not useful – Choice made on the b s more information, but does not specify v		more detailed / [1]	
		Lev			ful / not useful – One is from a journalist both may be biased / unreliable.	, the other is from	m a historian so [2]	
		Lev			ice made on the nature or amount of infor t specify what information.	mation given.	[3–5]	
		Lev	D In	isc clu	ice made on the grounds of reliability. ussion of utility must be made on valid e ide at this Level answers that cross-refe bility.			
			6	ma	arks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]	

Page 9	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2010	0470	42
(b) (i) Leve	1 One mark for each valid example to a maxi	mum of two.	
	e.g. Hong Kong, Macao.		[1–2
(ii) Leve	1 Identifies factors.		
	e.g. Rose in influence during the Cultura death.	al Revolution; arres	ted after Mao [1–2
Leve	2 Describes factors. Award an extra man described in additional detail.	k for each valid	factor which i
	e.g. Gang were Jiang Qing (Mao's wife) Zhang Chunqiao. Resented by most	of the Party becau	se of access t

- e.g. Gang were Jiang Qing (Mao's wife), Wang Hongwen, Yao Wenyuan, Zhang Chunqiao. Resented by most of the Party because of access to Mao (although Mao and Jiang were not that close). More radical than even Mao. Tried to manipulate Deng but after Mao's death they were toppled, arrested, and sentenced to death but sentence was commuted to life imprisonment. [2–4]
- (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained.
 - e.g. 1988 and 1989 had seen severe economic problems in China with high inflation levels; for two months demonstrators demanded an increase in the pace of democratic reform, and protested CCP corruption; encouraged by the Gorbachev reforms in USSR and excited by his proposed visit on 15–18 May; power struggle in CCP hierarchy so mixed messages were given to the protesters. However, force was used on 3–4 June to disperse the protesters. [2–6]
- (iv) Level 1 Simple assertion. Yes, capitalist businesses are now in China. [1]
 - Level 2 Explanation of change *OR* lack of change, single factor given, e.g.
 - Chan Deng did not see that it was anti-communist for people to enrich themselves; hence a decentralisation of much of the old communist interference in trade and a loosening of economic doctrine to allow foreign investment and international financial cooperation. Changes mostly in the financial and economic sectors.
 - Lack Very little true political reform; CCP remained very firmly in control of development; some mild building on previous Communist reforms with regard to health, welfare, education and women. [2]
 - Level 3 Explanation of change *OR* lack of change with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons. *OR* Undeveloped suggestions on *BOTH* sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
 - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND lack of change must be addressed. [6–8]

	Page	e 10)			rk Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
					IGCSE – October/November 2010 0470			42	
					<u>Depth</u>	Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century.			
5	(a) ((i)	Leve	el 1	Repe	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made. [1-			
			Leve	el 2	Make	Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from the source.			
					e.g.	Opportunities and conditions in native r improve farming methods; to be self-fi control; to direct labour supply; promote	nancing; to increa	ase government	
			Leve	el 3	Make	es valid inferences with reference to the	source.		
					e.g.	Keen to acquire more land – another 6 million already; self-finance through po agriculture with systems of control over	ll tax, rent and p		
	(i	ii)	Leve	el 1	Agre	es OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]	
			Leve	el 2	Agre	es OR disagrees, supported from the so	urce, e.g.		
					Yes	ICU failed; rural Africans faced too ma national campaign before 1950s.	any hindrances; t	oo localised; no	
					No	Had ICU resistance; able to organise mount strikes; women's campaign in the		rganisation and [3–5]	
			Leve	el 3		es AND disagrees, supported from the s esses the issue of 'How far?'	ource.	[6–7]	
	(ii	ii)	Leve	el 1		ul / not useful – Choice made on the b more information, but does not specify		/ more detailed [1]	
			Leve	el 2		ul / not useful – One is the major term ents' website so they could both be biase		other is from a [2]	
			Leve	el 3		ce made on the nature or amount of info specify what information.	rmation given.	[3–5]	
			Leve	el 4	Discu	ce made on the grounds of reliability. Ission of utility must be made on valid e de at this Level answers that cross-refe bility.			
					6 ma	rks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]	

Page 11	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2010	0470	42

- (b) (i) Level 1 One mark for each aspect to a maximum of two.
 - e.g. Government owned Iron and Steel Corporation; State railway market monopoly. To build the first modern smelting and steel works in Africa. To be independent of European imports. Protected by tariffs. [1–2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies effects.

[1–2]

- Level 2 Describes effects. Award an extra mark for each valid effect that is described in additional detail.
 - e.g. Benefited from World Depression; Increased production and value of gold improved government revenues and helped investments in other parts of the economy; Higher wages; Internal migration blacks and poor whites; Townships to settle black women and families as well as men; Jo'burg townships of Sophiatown and Soweto grew rapidly and did have water / electricity. [2–4]
- (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained.
 - e.g: Afrikaners divided (SAP v National Party) re British control; Hertzog's Nationalists opposed involvement in WWI in contrast to Botha/Smuts; Cape and Natal had more voters of British origin, Supporters for the Unionist Party; Growing appeal of Labour Party, especially to Rand miners and unions; influence of Broederbond; 'Fusion' government led to Malan's Purified National Party in 1934; United Party divided over Smuts support for British in 1939; some supported more liberal reform for blacks or communism. [2–6]
- (iv) Level 1 Simple assertion. Yes, ended black ownership. [1]
 - Level 2 Explanation of land issue *OR* other issues, single factor given, e.g.
 - Land Land Settlement Act of 1912 and Natives' Land Act 1913; Strengthened by the 1936 Act effectively ended individual black land ownership and expelled 'squatters' from white land, especially in Transvaal. Reserves increased the good land available to whites; benefited capitalist farmers; created more migrant labour for industrial development.
 - Other Other areas of success were industrialisation; growing segregation; Pass Laws; ending Cape non-white vote. Suppression of opposition. Weakness of black organisations. [2]
 - Level 3 Explanation of land issue *OR* other issues with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons. *OR* Undeveloped suggestions on *BOTH* sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
 - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of land issue AND other issues must be addressed. [6–8]

	Page 1	2	Ма	rk Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper	
	g			CSE – October/November 2010	0470	42	
			<u>Dept</u>	h Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 194	<u>5–c.1994.</u>		
6	(a) (i)	Level 1	Repe	eats material stated in the source, no infe	erence made.	[1–2]	
		Level 2	Make	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source.			
			e.g.	The Israelis thought that the victory involvement in war etc.	had marked the	end of Israel's [3-4]	
		Level 3	Make	es valid inferences with reference to the	source.		
			e.g.	Israelis felt that the war had dealt suc they would no longer wish to start anoth successful peace negotiations as they etc.	her war with Israe	l; they expected	
	(ii)	Level 1	Agre	es OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]	
		Level 2	Agre	es OR disagrees, supported from the so	urce, e.g.		
			Yes	UNO had involved itself and passed F upheld, would give Israel what it wante to live in peace etc.			
			No	Khartoum meeting of Arab states s refusing peace, recognition and negoti would continue etc.		-	
		Level 3	•	es <i>AND</i> disagrees, supported from the s esses the issue of 'How far?'	ource.	[6–7]	
	(iii)	Level 1		ul / not useful – Choice made on the bas information, but does not specify what i		e detailed/gives [1]	
		Level 2		ul / not useful – One is from a former P a British history book so they could both			
		Level 3		ce made on the nature or amount of info specify what information.	rmation given.	[3–5]	
		Level 4	Disc	ce made on the grounds of reliability. ussion of utility must be made on valid e de at this Level answers that cross-refe pility.			
			6 ma	rks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]	

Page 13	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2010	0470	42

- (b) (i) Level 1 One mark for each valid area to a maximum of two.
 - e.g. Golan Heights, Sinai, West Bank, Jerusalem, and some small parts of Syria. [1–2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies aspects, e.g. Surprise attack and cover for land forces. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Describes aspects. Award an extra mark for each valid aspect described in additional detail.
 - e.g. Knocked out Egyptian air force then did the same to the Jordanian, Syrian and Iraqi air forces. Action in support of Israeli land forces as they progressed into enemy territory; Blitzkrieg effect, etc. [2–4]
 - (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained.
 - e.g. Arguments in the Israeli government led President Nasser to believe that he could threaten war without retaliation. May 1967 clashes between Syria and Israel – Nasser thought this could develop into a full scale attack and if he did nothing his reputation would be hit. Soviet intelligence told him that Israel would not fight on two fronts. Nasser had been accused during the 1960s by Arab leaders of cowardice towards Israel. [2–6]
 - (iv) Level 1 Simple assertion. Yes, both began to think differently. [1]
 - Level 2 Explanation of change *OR* no change, single factor given. e.g.
 - Chan Palestinians felt that the resolution of their cause must lay more and more in their own hands. More terror attacks such as three airliners blown up in Dawson's Field in Jordan; 1970 expulsion of Palestinians from Jordan; Munich Olympics 1972. Sadat wanted an accommodation with Israel but internal Egyptian politics would not allow this. 350 000 more Palestinians / Arabs now under Israeli rule. Although helpless for the most part, Arab hatred of Israel reached a new intensity.
 - No Most Arabs and their states would destroy Israel if they could; Solid refusal to accept the Israeli state despite such a shattering defeat; Khartoum declaration; UNO Resolution 242; 1968 Israeli-Egyptian artillery duel across the Suez Canal in 'War of Attrition'; 1972 Sadat sent home 17 000 Soviet advisers, Egyptian people restless, Sadat had to do something, helped lead to Yom Kippur War. [2]
 - Level 3 Explanation of change *OR* no change with multiple factors. Accept single factors with multiple reasons. *OR* Undeveloped suggestions on *BOTH* sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
 - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND no change must be addressed. [6–8]

	Page 14				ark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
				IG	CSE – October/November 2010	0470	42
	Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society.						
7	(a) (i)	(a) (i) Level 1		әре	eats material seen in source, no inferenc	e made.	[1–2]
		Leve	el2 Ma	ak	es valid inferences, unsupported from the	e source.	
			e.	g.	There is a mixture of gauges and some	more lines are pla	nned etc. [3–4]
		Leve	el3 Ma	ak	es valid inferences with reference to the	source.	
			e.,	g.	A mixture of broad and narrow gauge broad gauge largely found from Lond Wales and Cornwall except for South W	on westwards; v	
	(ii)	Leve	el 1 Aç	gre	es OR disagrees, unsupported from the	source.	[1–2]
		Leve	el2 Ag	gre	es OR disagrees, supported from the so	urce e.g.	
			Ye	es	The speaker has a vested interest Dramatic claim of the world receiving all over etc.	•	•
			No	C	There must be a debate as to wheth must give way to a new mechanical a age' etc.	-	-
		Leve			es <i>AND</i> disagrees, supported from the s resses the issue of 'How far?'	ource.	[6–7]
	(iii) Level 1 Level 2				ul / not useful – Choice made on the l s more information, but does not specify		
					ul / not useful – A is a map, B is a speed could all be biased / unreliable.	h and C is from a	a history book so [2]
		Leve			ce made on the nature or amount of info specify what information.	rmation given.	[3–5]
	Level 4		Di In	sc clu	ce made on the grounds of reliability. ussion of utility must be made on valid e de at this Level answers that cross-re v reliability.		
			6	ma	arks for one source, 7 marks for both.		[6–7]

Page 1	5	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version		Syllabus	Paper
		IG	CSE – October/November 2010	0470	42
(b) (i)	Level 1		mark for each correct gauge e.g. 7 feet (ow). NB one mark maximum for right g		
(ii)	Level 1	Identifies the Act, e.g. An act to guarantee railway transport each day. [1–2]			
	Level 2		cribes aspects of the Act. Award an extra ditional detail	a mark for each a	spect described
		e.g.	Each company to run at least one tra each day, stopping at every station, tra miles per hour, and charging a penny as 'parliamentary trains'.	avelling at a spee	d of at least 12
(iii)	Level 1	Sing	le reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Level 2	Multi	ple reasons. One for each reason, one fo	or each reason ex	plained.
		e.g.	Some of the early railways were makin to join in on the 'bonanza; People be make a profit and this encouraged co towns that had no industrial base; The encouraged speculation with over optin many had become wealthy through the were keen to invest in new prospect scramble to invest in railways etc.	elieved that every mpanies to set up ere were unscrup nistic prospectuse ne expansion of i	y railway would o lines between ulous men who es; by the 1840s industry and so
(iv)	Level 1	Simp	ble assertion. No, railways were an exciti	ng adventure.	[1]
	Level 2	Expla	anation of problems OR solutions, single	factor given, e.g.	
		Prob	Caused much anguish in rural areas a change; People believed that cattle affected by trains; To reach centres o down – even cemeteries moved – this Caused many canals to decline, leadin Caused decay in long distance hors coaches; Encouraged unwise speculat	/ animals would of towns houses h was especially so g to loss of jobs a se transport and	d be adversely ad to be pulled in London; nd investments; death of stage
		Sol	Quicker movement of bulk goods like about or commute to work; Enabled for quickly, thus improving diet; Letter pos to expand as goods more easily transp from abroad like cotton could be transp	podstuffs and fish at carried on trains ported to and fror	to reach towns s; Allowed ports n; Raw material
	Level 3	Allov	anation of problems <i>OR</i> solutions with m v single factors with multiple reasons.	ultiple factors.	
	<i>OR</i> Undeveloped suggestions on <i>BOTH</i> sides of the argument (annotate Balanced but Brief).			annotate BBB – [3–5]	
	Level 4		vers that offer a balanced argument. H sides of problems AND solutions must	be addressed.	[6–8]

Page 16	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2010	0470	42

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century

8	(a)	(i) Level 1	Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.	[1–2]

- Level 2 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source.
 - e.g. The people attending think imperialism is a worthy option; everybody appears to be happy with the outcome, etc. [3–4]
- Level 3 Makes valid inferences with reference to the source.
 - e.g. Bismarck and the delegates think Leopold is a worthy man 'illustrious creator' and applause at his name; Leopold appears to be the main beneficiary of the conference despite his absence, etc. [5–6]
- (ii) Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Agrees *OR* disagrees, supported from the source, e.g.
 - Yes Very little expenditure to help improve the lot of the natives; Few knew any African language; implies disinterest and perhaps only interest in gain. Intimidated survivors to be forced labourers; bought and sold slaves etc.
 - No Leopold had asserted he wanted to provide wise government and public service; poor and rudimentary hospitals; shooting villagers for sport, etc. [3–5]
 - Level 3 Agrees *AND* disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6–7]
- (iii) Level 1 Useful / not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed / gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
 - Level 2 Useful / not useful One is from a book about the Congo and the other is a pamphlet so they could both be biased / unreliable. [2]
 - Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3–5]
 - Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6–7]

Page 17	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2010	0470	42

- (b) (i) Level 1 One mark for each valid colony to a maximum of two.
 - e.g. Kameroons, German South West Africa (Namibia), German East Africa, Togoland. [1–2]
 - (ii) Level 1 Identifies Leopold's role. Sponsored exploration. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Describes Leopold's role. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in additional detail.
 - e.g. Leopold sponsored Stanley to explore the Congo and other regions; occupied the area with Belgian forces, stating that he wished to bring good government to the area and improve the peoples; impressed European nations at Berlin Conference which confirmed his title to the Congo etc. [2–4]
 - (iii) Level 1 Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2]
 - Level 2 Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained.
 - e.g. Countries such as Germany and Italy only came into being in the second half of the nineteenth century so were late into the field. Britain was strong financially and militarily. Trade from industrial Britain gave footholds. Conquest by Britain from other Europeans e.g. Cape Colony. Desire and ambition. Missionaries. Experience of Empire building over three centuries. Countries like Portugal and Spain, former colonial powers, were in decline. [2–6]
 - (iv) Level 1 Simple assertion. Yes, the Europeans wrecked Africa. [1]
 - Level 2 Explanation of disaster *OR* benefit, single factor given, e.g.
 - Dis Exploitation of people and resources; some slavery; westernisation; destruction of old way of life; white domination of government and finance; missionaries? etc.
 - Ben In terms of communication (in understanding one another as well as by transport via railways, steamboats etc); opening up Africa for trade and creation of wealth; missionaries; education; medicine; technology, etc.[2]
 - Level 3 Explanation of disaster *OR* benefit with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons. *OR* Undeveloped assertions on *BOTH* sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]
 - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of disaster AND benefit must be addressed. [6–8]